

PROJECT EVALUATION SHEET**Toruń Students Summer Program - TSSP 2021**

Full name of the author of the project (full names of the authors of the project):	
Project title:	
Programme for which the project is submitted:	
<input type="checkbox"/> TAPS - <i>Toruń Astrophysics/Physics Summer Program</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> TABMED - <i>Toruń and Bydgoszcz Medical Summer Program</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> TSSP NatSci - <i>Toruń Students Summer Program in Natural Sciences</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> TELSP - <i>Toruń Economic and Legal Summer Program</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> THUS - <i>Toruń Humanities and Social Sciences Summer Program</i>	
Composition of the Selection Committee:	
Chair (Program Coordinator)	
.....	
Deputy Chair (Deputy Program Coordinator)	
.....	
Members of the Selection Committee (including the University Faculty represented):	
1.	
2.	
3.	
Administrative assessment	
Was the project delivered by the required deadline?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Does the project contain all the required annexes?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Summary of the administrative check resulting from the above points	<input type="checkbox"/> The project meets formal criteria <input type="checkbox"/> The project does not meet formal criteria

Content-related assessment (points)	
1) Content-related value of the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (50 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Very good (40 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Good (30 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Fair (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Weak (10 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0 points)
2) Scientific achievements of the project author (main project author in the case of a two-author project)	<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Very good (15 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Good (10 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Fair (5 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0 points)
3) Experience of the project author (main project author in the case of a two-author project) in scientific cooperation with students	<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Very good (15 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Good (10 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Fair (5 points) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor (0 points)
4) Planned timing of the project promotes the integration of the participating students	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (10 points) <input type="checkbox"/> No (0 points)
Summary of the content-based assessment	Total points awarded.....
Position of the proposal in the ranking list	
Opinion and recommendation of the Committee evaluating the application	